United States Court of Appeals

For	the Eighth Circuit
	No. 18-1029
United	d States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
Shane	Douglass Davison
	Defendant - Appellant
	United States District Court of South Dakota - Rapid City
Filed: S	d: September 13, 2018 September 18, 2018 Unpublished]
Before LOKEN, COLLOTON, and	STRAS, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
Shane Davison directly appea	als the sentence imposed by the district court ¹ after

he pleaded guilty to enticement of a minor using the internet, pursuant to a plea

¹The Honorable Jeffrey L. Viken, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the District of South Dakota.

agreement containing an appeal waiver. His counsel has moved to withdraw and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the district court imposed a substantively unreasonable sentence.

We will enforce the appeal waiver, because our review of the record demonstrates that Davison entered into the plea agreement and the appeal waiver knowingly and voluntarily, his challenge to the sentence falls within the scope of the appeal waiver, and no miscarriage of justice would result from enforcing the waiver. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (discussing enforcement of appeal waivers). Further, we have independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and have found no non-frivolous issues for appeal outside the scope of the appeal waiver.

Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw and we dismiss this appeal.

-2-